

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/817,447 | 03/26/2001 | Kie Y. Ahn | 303.459US2 | 8016 | |
| 21186 | 7590 05/06/2002 | | | | |
| SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | MITCHELL, JAMES M | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2827 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/817,447 | | AHN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | James Mitchell | | 2827 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| | tesponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 i | February 2002 . | - | | | | | |
| / | <u> </u> | nis action is non-f | inal. | | | | | |
| 3)□ S | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 38-76 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-45</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-59,62-73 and 76</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ CI | aim(s) 60,61,74 and 75 is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application | • | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) 7. 6) | | ry (PTO-413) Paper N I Patent Application (F | | | | |

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the supplemental papers filed February 12,
 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regards to claim 46, it is ambiguous as to how a second barrier layer has a lesser wettability with copper than Zinc Oxide material, when the second barrier layer comprises a Zinc Oxide material.
- 4. Claims 52-55 recite the limitation "the zinc oxide" in Line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claims 46-55 have not been rejected over the prior art because, in light of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections supra, there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the claims; hence, it would not be proper to reject the claims on the basis of prior art. As stated in In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection should not be based on considerable speculation about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims. See also MPEP 2173.06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2827

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 56-59 and 62-73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Hirao (1997 Symposium on VLSI...).
- 8. Hirao (Fig 1, 6b; Page 57, RT Paragraph 4, Line 6) discloses an integrated circuit assembly comprising a Silicon Oxide (shown in Fig 1) insulation layer having opposing first (top) and second (bottom) major surfaces and a trench (not labeled) in said surface, a first diffusion barrier consisting essentially of titanium tungsten (TiW) having an inportion which conforms to walls and floors of the trench having a first wettability with a metal, and an out-portion outside the trench and "on" the first surface (barrier formed "on" a portion of the top surface which defines the bottom said trench), and a second diffusion barrier layer (SiN) having a second wettability that is less than the first barrier layer on the out portion of the first barrier, the second barrier having no substantial portion within the trench and a portion extending over the outer perimeter (surrounding portion of the top surface closest to trench) of the trench, with said trench filled with a copper structure (CU).
- 9. Hirao (Fig 6a) further discloses in a conventional process, barrier material consisting of TiW. Since applicant does not claim that the first and second barrier layers are made of different materials (inherently materials are comprised of multiple contiguous layers comprising a 1st, 2nd etc,). Therefore, the conventional process

Art Unit: 2827

discloses a first barrier layer portion having an in-portion within the trench and an out portion (a portion extending from trench) and a second barrier layer portion consisting essentially of TiW (remaining portion outside of trench).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 38-45 are allowable. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose or make obvious an integrated circuit assembly comprising an insulative layer having a trench with a first barrier other than Zinc Oxide inside the trench and a second diffusion comprising a zinc oxide on the insulative layer and having an edge flush with a portion of the edge of the trench.
- 14. Claims 60,61, 74 and 75 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2827

Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

April 30, 2002